

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
8
9
10

11 **ANGIOSCORE, INC.,**

12 **Plaintiff,**

13 **v.**

14 **TRIEME MEDICAL, INC., *et al.*,**

15 **Defendants.**
16

Case No.: 12-CV-3393 YGR

ORDER DEEMING MOTION WITHDRAWN


17 On February 26, 2014, plaintiff AngioScore, Inc. filed a motion for an order declaring that
18 substitute service purportedly made upon defendants Quattro Vascular PTE Ltd. ("Quattro") and QT
19 Vascular Ltd. ("QTV") was effective and that this Court has personal jurisdiction over those
20 defendants. (Dkt. No. 176 and exhibits.) After briefing completed, the Court ordered further
21 briefing on various questions raised by the parties but not addressed by them to the Court's
22 satisfaction. (Dkt. No. 190.) Thereafter, on April 9, 2014, defendants, including Quattro and QTV,
23 filed a notice of non-opposition to the motion, asserting that counsel for defendants TriReme
24 Medical LLC (fka TriReme Medical, Inc.) and Eitan Konstantino had been authorized to accept
25 service on behalf of Quattro and QTV. (Dkt. No. 191.) On April 11, 2014, plaintiff filed a notice of
26 withdrawal of the motion. (Dkt. No. 192 ("Notice of Withdrawal").)

27 Accordingly, the Court deems plaintiff's motion **WITHDRAWN**. The order requiring
28 supplemental briefing is **VACATED**.

To the extent that plaintiff's Notice of Withdrawal seeks various explanations, confirmations, and responses from defendants (*see* Notice of Withdrawal at 1-2), the Court does not interpret plaintiff's notice to seek judicial intervention, as it is a notice, not a motion. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b)(1). Therefore, the Court does not address those matters here. The Court's silence, however, should not be deemed an invitation to further motion practice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 15, 2014


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

United States District Court

Northern District of California